

# Effects of a workplace intervention in inpatient occupational rehabilitation: a randomized clinical trial

Martin Skagseth<sup>1</sup>, Marius Fimland<sup>2,3</sup>, Marit By Rise<sup>4</sup>, Roar Johnsen<sup>1</sup>, Lene Aasdahl<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health and Nursing, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway

<sup>2</sup>Unicare Helsefort Rehabilitation Centre, Rissa, Norway

<sup>3</sup>Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, NTNU, Trondheim, Norway

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mental Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, NTNU Trondheim, Norway

## Introduction

Workplace interventions have been effective in increasing return to work (RTW) for people on sick leave. However, it has not been investigated whether a workplace intervention provides additional benefit when added to inpatient occupational rehabilitation. Thus, the aim of this study is to evaluate whether adding a workplace intervention to an inpatient occupational rehabilitation program can reduce the number of sickness absence days and improve time until sustainable RTW compared to a standard program without a workplace intervention.

## Methods

The design was a randomized clinical trial with parallel groups. Participants were workers, 18-60 years old, sick listed with musculoskeletal, psychological or general and unspecified diagnoses. The standard rehabilitation program lasted 2+1 weeks (with one week at home between) and consisted of Acceptance and Commitment Therapy, physical training and work-related problem-solving, including creating a RTW plan, and was the same for both groups. The intervention group got in addition a workplace intervention during the third week at home. The work-place intervention included both group-based and individual preparations before the home period and a work-place meeting between the sick listed worker, the employer and a coordinator from the rehabilitation center. The main outcome was number of sickness absence days during 12 months of follow-up, and time until full sustainable RTW (defined as four weeks without sick-leave), measured by registry data.

## Results

168 individuals were included in the trial. Data on sickness absence is obtained and results are expected to be ready June/July 2018.

## Discussion and conclusion

The results of this study will be of interest for individuals on sick leave, clinicians, researchers and decision makers.